	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/711,143	WATSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants:	Status of Application: Non-final rejection	
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ruth E. Tyler-Cross (Reg. No. 45,922).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 October 2006	Time: <u>5:56PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a write ecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
All Male Man		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	Signature — if appropriate)
(Applicant	Applicant a Lehiesentative c	ngriature – ii appropriate)

Application No. 10/711,143

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that claim 12 have minor informalities problem and further requires the insertion of the determining step similar to claim 1 to provide for the necessary practical application to overcome 101 rejection, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claim as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.